

Original Application No. 143/2013

Nagrik Upbhokta Margdarshak Manch & Anr. v. State of MP & Ors

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. P.S.RAO, EXPERT MEMBER**

**PRESENT : Applicant : Shri Manish Sharma, Advocate
Respondent : Shri Sachin K. Verma, Advocate with
Respondent No. 3 : Ms. Parul Bhadoria, Advocate
RSPCB Shri Sandeep Singh, Advocate
Respondent : Ms. Yogna Agnihotri, Advocate**

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Order No. 5 4th July, 2014	<p>Heard. Perused.</p> <p>The Respondent No. 3/MPPCB filed a reply supported by an affidavit dated 3rd July, 2014 to place on record the action taken by it pursuant to the directions dated 5th May, 2014. It appears that the State Pollution Control Board has prepared a list of 19 major companies dealing with electrical/electronic equipments and whose projects are distributed throughout the State of Madhya Pradesh, and issued directions to them under Section 5 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 in compliance of the provisions of the E-Waste Rules, 2011 to provide the details of the Collection Centres or take back the E-waste in the State of Madhya Pradesh, details of registered dismantlers or recyclers and furnish a compliance report of E-Waste Rules, 2011. List of dealers/consumption/sale of the products in the State of Madhya Pradesh has also been called for from the respective companies carrying on the business in electronic goods in the State of Madhya Pradesh.</p> <p>It further appears that only Four Respondents namely M/s HCL Info System Ltd., M/s LG Electronics India Pvt. Ltd and M/s Samsung India Electronics Pvt. Ltd. have responded to the directions issued by the Board and, therefore, the reminder letters have been issued to the remaining companies. Only two applications for establishment of recycling units in Indore and Gwalior respectively and one application</p>

for establishing dismantling unit in Bhopal have been received and these applications are under consideration.

Inviting our attention to the guidelines issued by the Ministry of Environment & Forest for implementation of E-Waste Rules, 2011, learned counsel for the Respondent No. 3/State Pollution Control Board submitted that producers are required to achieve 100 per cent collection and channelization at the end of life of the equipment and for the purposes of monitoring the implementation the targets based on the life of the product, type of the product, usage and consumption patterns and with relevant factors need to be fixed by the CPCB vide 4.0(3) of the guidelines for implementation of E-Waste Rules, 2011. CPCB therefore, shall be impleaded as Respondent No. 9 to the present Original Application. Amended memo of parties shall also be filed within one week from today.

Learned counsel for the CPCB, who is present in Court accepts notice and thus notice is waived on behalf of CPCB.

Learned counsel for the CPCB shall respond to the present application giving details of the action it proposes to take in view of their role in the management of e-waste.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State of Rajasthan, State of Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan Pollution Control Board, and Chhattisgarh Environment Conservation Board seek time to place before us the actions which are in offing in respect of the management of e-waste in their respective states.

List on **24th July, 2014.**

.....,JM
(U.D. SAVLI)

.....,EM
(P.S.RAO)